



Evaluation Report

NGEnvironment 3rd Partner Meeting

in Reggio Emilia, Italy

2nd to 4th of February 2020

Project Title:	Foster European Active Citizenship and Sustainability Through Ecological Thinking by NGOs
Acronym:	NGEnvironment
Reference number:	2018-1-DE02-KA204-005014
Project partners:	University Paderborn, Germany (UPB) Rightchallenge, Portugal (RC) EPEK – Society for Environmental Education of Korinthia, Greece (EPEK) Across Limits Ltd., Malta (AL) Future in Perspective Limited, Ireland (FIPL) Permacultura Cantabria, Spain (PC) SINERGIE, Italy (SIN) Grupul Pentru Integrare Europeana, Romania (GIE)

Agenda

1. Setting of the testing and target group	3
2. Quantitative data	3
3. Qualitative data.....	5
4. Summary	8

1. Setting of the testing and target group

This report presents the results of the 3rd NGEnvironment Partner Meeting (Foster European Active Citizenship and Sustainability Through Ecological Thinking by NGOs, 2018-1-DE02-KA204-005014).

The NGEnvironment Partner Meeting took place between the 2nd and 4th of February 2020 in the premises of SINERGIE (SIN) in Reggio Emilia in Italy. Moreover, 14 persons evaluated this meeting.

After the 3rd NGEnvironment Partner Meeting, the evaluation questionnaires were handed out to the participants, in order to evaluate and improve the quality of the program. It reflects the personal impressions of the participants about the project.

The results of this questionnaire are the following ones:

2. Quantitative data

In table 1, we can observe that most of the participants were satisfied in general with the whole 3rd partner meeting, since we cannot find any negative answer regarding this meeting. This is also shown by the results that most participants (92.9 %) totally agree that the whole training corresponded to their needs and expectations.

Furthermore, over 92.9 % totally agree that the meeting objectives were clear (see figure 1). Even so, the item “The level of this meeting was appropriate” was evaluated positive by all participants (100.0 % totally agree). Nevertheless, most participants (over 70.0 %) think that the meeting contents were presented in an engaging way (see table 1).

Furthermore, the majority of the participants found the meeting interesting (78.6% totally agree). The coordination was well prepared (92,9% totally agree) and very helpful (100% totally agree) for the participants.

Overall, all participants were happy with the meeting results (92.9% totally agree). Therefore, we can conclude that most of the participants have a clear picture now of the projects’ next steps (92.9 % totally agree) and know what their tasks for the upcoming period are (85.7 % totally agree).

The whole meeting:

Evaluated aspect	1 Totally disagree	2 Partly disagree	3 Partly Agree	5 Totally agree	6 I don't know	Σ
The partner meeting lived up to my expectations.	0	0	1 (7.1%)	13 (92.9%)	0	14
The meeting objectives were clear to me.	0	0	1 (7.1%)	13 (92.9%)	0	14
The difficulty level of this meeting was appropriate.	0	0	0	14 (100.0%)	0	14
The meeting contents were presented in an engaging way.	0	1 (7.1%)	3 (21.4%)	10 (71.4%)	0	14
The meeting was interesting.	0	0	3 (25.0%)	11 (78.6%)	0	14
The coordination was well prepared.	0	1 (7.1%)	0	13 (92.9%)	0	14
The coordination was helpful.	0	0	0	14 (100.0%)	0	14
Overall, I am happy with the meeting results.	0	1 (7.1%)	0	13 (92.9%)	0	14
I have a clear picture now of the projects' next steps.	0	1 (7.1%)	0	13 (92.9%)	0	14
I know what my tasks for the upcoming period are.	0	1 (7.1%)	0	12 (85.7%)	1 (7.1%)	14

Table 1: Evaluation whole meeting



Figure 1: Evaluation whole meeting

3. Qualitative data

Furthermore, the participants have the opportunity to express their opinions on the basis of open questions. The results of the qualitative data can be seen in the following:

As you can observe in table 2, the majority of participants have no concerns regarding the development process in NGEnvironment and the quality of the management or collaboration of the project (see table 2).

Table 3 shows the answers regarding the suggestion for the future cooperation/ communication in the NGEnvironment project. Here, only 7 participants give an answer. Moreover, they indicate that there is a good and clear communication between all partners and that it should continue in this way. Nevertheless, one participant proposes to do more Skype meetings (see table 3).

The next aspect relates to Dissemination and Exploitation activities or measures the participants undertook so far to the NGEnvironment project. Here you will find a lot of activities. The most mentioned are listed below: Website, Social Media, Distribution of Newsletters, Face-to-Face Meetings, Publication in Journals etc. (see table 4).

The last question asks for additional issues for discussion. This question was answered by only 4 persons. As can be seen in table 5, some participants would like to have more dynamic activities to get to know each other better and to make the contents more interesting.

Do you have any concerns regarding the development process in NGEEnvironment so far?	
Yes, please be specific: (0 answers)	
No (14 answers)	
Do you have any concerns with the quality of the management or collaboration of the project so far?	
Yes, please be specific: (1 answer)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Skype Meetings
No (13 answers)	

Table 2: Results of qualitative data – Open question 1

What would you like to suggest for the future cooperation/ communication in the NGEEnvironment project? (7 answers)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nothing to recommend, the cooperation/ communication went well so far! All you need is to continue to maintain it at the good level that we achieved. • Everything is running smoothly so far. Coordination is being great and partners are working hard. • Everything is working well. • More Skype Meetings • Integration of information of Google Drive on the Next Cloud • Communication has been very clear and regular so far. No Further comments. • The communication and cooperation is very good, it is important to ensure it will be continued at the similar level in the future.

Table 3: Results of qualitative data – Open question 2

Please describe any Dissemination & Exploitation activities or measures you undertook so far to the NGEEnvironment project. (10 answers)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We organized an informal event to introduce the NGE Project to some potential people interested to join true Training (LTTA) as external participants. Then we'll organise the multiplier event by involving NGOs in a more formal way. • Poster; leaflet; Information in study modules. • We performed so far dissemination activities through a combination of channels & tools , namely: Posting news on GIE's FB page; Posting project's description on GIE's Website; Presenting the project during meetings with the target groups; Distributing

<p>printed materials about the project; publishing scientific Paper about project research.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Posting news on GiE's FB page; Posting description of the project on GiE's web; Meetings with this target group to inform about the project outcomes; distributing printed materials; publishing scientific paper about project research. • I've disseminated the project meeting through our social media and encourage participants of the next LTTA to do the same. We're preparing also a poster about the meeting for our website and regional newsletter. • We're disseminate the project meeting through social media. • Social Media (Website; Facebook); Flyer, Poster, Leaflet • Considered for inclusion in next edition of the local Hub newspaper; Started to formulate potential NGO contents. • Our dissemination strategy has been based mainly on spreading the project developments through our network of regional stakeholders. Our region has a lot of small environmental NGOs, so it's been a great opportunity to reach their interest. • We promote NGEEnvironment through the usual channels on our local level -> Facebook, newsletter etc.; we started to establish closer relations with NGOs in our local area and build peoples engagement; We are working on publishing in local newspaper about the project and its aim. (Will be available in March)

Table 4: Results of qualitative data – Open question 3

What else would you like to pass on? Are there any other issues for discussion? (4 answers)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Thanks for hosting us. • I'd like to suggest to include non-formal dynamics in the next LTTA, so participants can learn in a more funny way. There are dynamics for presentation, for creating groups, for team building... even for adults!! • Everything worked smooth. Maybe I would've liked to do some ice-breaking activities to get closer to the participants • No. Everything is clear. Thank you for the wonderful meeting!

Table 5: Results of qualitative data – Open question 4

4. Summary

The evaluation shows that majority of the participants were satisfied with the whole meeting. However, some participants made suggestions for improvements which should be taken into account.

The Training Event was well-prepared and structured. Therefore, the project reached many aims so far and works in a stable path into the future.