



# Evaluation Report

## NGEnvironment 4<sup>th</sup> Partner Meeting

### Online Meeting, Zoom

24<sup>th</sup> to 26<sup>th</sup> of November 2020

<b>Project Title:</b>	Foster European Active Citizenship and Sustainability Through Ecological Thinking by NGOs
<b>Acronym:</b>	NGEnvironment
<b>Reference number:</b>	2018-1-DE02-KA204-005014
<b>Project partners:</b>	University Paderborn, Germany (UPB) Rightchallenge, Portugal (RC) EPEK – Society for Environmental Education of Korinthia, Greece (EPEK) Across Limits Ltd., Malta (AL) Future in Perspective Limited, Ireland (FIPL) Permacultura Cantabria, Spain (PC) SINERGIE, Italy (SIN) Grupul Pentru Integrare Europeana, Romania (GIE)

## Agenda

1. Setting of the testing and target group .....	3
2. Quantitative data .....	3
3. Qualitative data.....	6
4. Summary .....	8

## 1. Setting of the testing and target group

This report presents the results of the 4<sup>th</sup> NGEnvironment Online Partner Meeting (Foster European Active Citizenship and Sustainability Through Ecological Thinking by NGOs, 2018-1-DE02-KA204-005014).

The NGEnvironment Partner Meeting took place between the 24<sup>th</sup> and 26<sup>th</sup> of November 2020 in the premises of the University of Paderborn (UPB) via Zoom, instead of in Greece. In total 14 project partners evaluated this meeting.

After the 4<sup>th</sup> NGEnvironment Partner Meeting, the evaluation questionnaires were shared via SurveyMonkey, in order to evaluate and improve the quality of the program. It reflects the personal impressions of the participants about the project.

The results of this questionnaire are the following ones:

## 2. Quantitative data

In table 1, we can observe that most of the participants were satisfied in general with the whole 4<sup>th</sup> partner meeting, since we cannot find any negative answer regarding this meeting. This is also shown by the results that most participants (90.0 %) totally agree that the meeting lived up to their expectations.

Furthermore, over 90.0% totally agree that the meeting objectives were clear.

Even so, the item “The difficulty level of this meeting was appropriate” was evaluated positive by all participants (80.0 % totally agree). Nevertheless, most participants (over 70.0 %) think that the meeting contents were presented in an engaging way (see table 1).

Furthermore, the majority of the participants found the meeting interesting (70.0% totally agree). The coordination was well prepared (90.0% totally agree) and very helpful (90.0% totally agree) for the participants.

Overall, all participants were happy with the meeting results (80.0% totally agree). Therefore, we can conclude that most of the participants have a clear picture now of the projects’ next steps (80.0% totally agree) and know what their tasks for the upcoming period are (90.0% totally agree).

**The whole meeting:**


Evaluated aspect	1 Totally disagree	2 Partly disagree	3 Partly Agree	4 Agree	5 Totally agree	6 I don't know	Σ
The partner meeting lived up to my expectations.	1 (10.0%)	0	0	0	9 (90.0%)	0	10
The meeting objectives were clear to me.	1 (10.0%)	0	0	0	9 (90.0%)	0	10
The difficulty level of this meeting was appropriate.	1 (10.0%)	0	0	1 (10.0%)	8 (80.0%)	0	10
The meeting contents were presented in an engaging way.	1 (10.0%)	0	0	1 (10.0%)	8 (80.0%)	0	10
The meeting was interesting.	1 (10.0%)	0	0	2 (20.0%)	7 (70.0%)	0	10
The coordination was well prepared.	1 (10.0%)	0	0	0	9 (90.0%)	0	10
The coordination was helpful.	1 (10.0%)	0	0	0	9 (90.0%)	0	10
Overall, I am happy with the meeting results.	1 (10.0%)	0	0	1 (10.0%)	8 (80.0%)	0	10
I have a clear picture now of the projects' next steps.	1 (10.0%)	0	0	1 (10.0%)	8 (80.0%)	0	10
I know what my tasks for the upcoming period are.	1 (10.0%)	0	0	0	9 (90.0%)	0	10

**Table 1: Evaluation whole meeting**

Furthermore, 100.0% of the participants have no concerns regarding to the development process in the NGEnvironment project so far (see figure 1).

Do you have any concerns regarding the development process in NGEnvironment so far?

Answered: 10 Skipped: 0




**Figure 1: Concerns regarding the development process**

Even so, no partners (100.0%) have any concerns in relation to the quality of the management or collaboration of the project so far (see figure 2).

Do you have any concerns with the quality of the management or collaboration of the project so far?

Answered: 10 Skipped: 0



**Figure 2: Concerns regarding the quality of the management**

### 3. Qualitative data

Furthermore, the participants have the opportunity to express their opinions on the basis of open questions. The results of the qualitative data can be seen in the following:

Table 2 shows the answers regarding the suggestion for the future cooperation/ communication in the NGEnvironment project. Here, 9 participants give a response. Moreover, they indicate that there is a good and clear communication between all partners and that it should continue in this way (see table 2).

What would you like to suggest for the future cooperation/ communication in the NGEnvironment project? (9 responses)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Communication is fluent and good</li> <li>• I'm quite happy with the communication and collaboration among partners so far.</li> <li>• I think we had so far a good cooperation with constant and sound communication, so I would recommend continuing the same way!</li> <li>• Nothing to add. Everything seems to be perfect to me :)</li> <li>• If we are to do another online meeting I think some more feedback after presenting something would be useful. I would like to stress though that this is not a problem relating to this project only so it is not a criticism of NGEnvironment per se. More a fault of online meetings in general!</li> <li>• N/A</li> <li>• I have no suggestions, I think the coordinators are exceptionally well-organized, and that partners have well-defined tasks until the end of the project. The communication/cooperation is very good.</li> <li>• No suggestions</li> <li>• Everything is fine</li> </ul>

Table 2: Results of qualitative data – Open question 2

The next aspect relates to Dissemination and Exploitation activities or measures the participants undertook so far to the NGEnvironment project. Here you will find a lot of activities. Many partners used social media channels as: Youtube, Twitter, Facebook and websites (videos) etc. (see table 3).

Furthermore, posting material was used for dissemination activities as: brochures, infographics, Newsletter and newspaper articles (see table 3). Especially UPIT gave a detailed insight into their dissemination activities: *“Besides UPIT's website and FB page, sending information by email to representatives of the target groups, distributing dissemination materials during training events and meetings with target groups, publishing article about the project in local newspaper “Ancheta”, etc.”.*

**Please describe any Dissemination & Exploitation activities or measures you undertook so far to the NGEnvironment project. (9 responses)**

- We have disseminated every event or outcome of the project in our website and social media, before and after the event
- We are disseminating the project results through our social networks, our entities website and our regional newsletter. We also presented the project during meetings or events related to other projects we're involved in. Finally, we presented the project and its results during the local testing of IO2 and IO3.
- We implemented dissemination actions through a combination of means and materials that included posting materials (brochures, infographics, newsletters, news about the project's progress) on UPIT's website and FB page, sending information by email to representatives of the target groups, distributing dissemination materials during training events and meetings with target groups, publishing article about the project in local newspaper "Ancheta", etc.
- Social Media Mails Articles
- Facebook posts, local stakeholder promotion, newspaper articles.
- Social media dissemination and Dissemination activities during online meetings
- Posts on our institution social media and on the project's social media. During the pilot testing of IO2 and IO3 we have also disseminated the project, and showed some materials/videos on the developed work so far.
- Dissemination through facebook, twitter, website, and youtube .
- Poster, website, publications, brochures, videos, social media, presentation in educational modules and teacher education

*Table 3: Results of qualitative data – Open question 3*

The last question asks for additional issues for discussion. This question was answered by 8 persons. As can be seen in table 4, the partners have no wishes for passing on some aspects of the NGEnvironment project.

**What else would you like to pass on? Are there any other issues for discussion? (8 responses)**

- I have no further comments.
- No.
- nothing to add. thx for everything :)
- Nothing other than good job to Marc and Denise for hosting the meeting!
- N/A
- Nothing to add. Thank you!
- No, all good.
- Thanks for the work and the nice meeting

*Table 4: Results of qualitative data – Open question 4*

## 4. Summary

The evaluation shows that majority of the participants were satisfied with the whole meeting. There were no suggestions for improvements, which should be taken into account. The fourth Online Project Meeting of NGEnvironment was well-prepared and structured. Therefore, the project reached many aims so far and works in a stable path into the future.